

# Programmation 1

TD n°12

8 décembre 2020

## 1 Real PCF<sup>-</sup>

We give below the denotational and operational semantics for Real PCF<sup>-</sup>.  
The types are as follows :

$$\begin{array}{l} \sigma, \tau, \dots ::= \mathbf{unit} \\ \quad \quad \quad | \mathbf{I} \\ \quad \quad \quad | \sigma \rightarrow \tau \end{array}$$

$\mathbb{S} = \{\perp, \top\}$  with  $\perp < \top$ .  $\mathcal{I} = [0, 1]$  with the usual order.

$$\llbracket \mathbf{unit} \rrbracket = \mathbb{S} \quad \llbracket \mathbf{I} \rrbracket = \mathcal{I} \quad \llbracket \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rrbracket = \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket x_\tau \rrbracket \rho &= \rho(x_\tau) \\ \llbracket uv \rrbracket \rho &= \llbracket u \rrbracket \rho(\llbracket v \rrbracket \rho) \\ \llbracket \mathbf{fn} \ x_\sigma. u \rrbracket \rho &= (V \in \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \mapsto \llbracket u \rrbracket(\rho[x_\sigma \mapsto V])) \\ \llbracket \mathbf{letrec} \ x_\sigma = u \ \mathbf{in} \ v \rrbracket \rho &= \llbracket v \rrbracket(\rho[x_\sigma \mapsto \llbracket \mathbf{rec} \ x_\sigma = u \rrbracket \rho]) \\ \llbracket \mathbf{rec} \ x_\sigma = u \rrbracket \rho &= \text{lfp}(V \in \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \mapsto \llbracket u \rrbracket(\rho[x_\sigma \mapsto V])) \\ \llbracket 0.u \rrbracket \rho &= \text{add}_0(\llbracket u \rrbracket \rho) & \llbracket 1.u \rrbracket \rho &= \text{add}_1(\llbracket u \rrbracket \rho) \\ \llbracket \mathbf{t1}_0 u \rrbracket \rho &= \text{rem}_0(\llbracket u \rrbracket \rho) & \llbracket \mathbf{t1}_1 u \rrbracket \rho &= \text{rem}_1(\llbracket u \rrbracket \rho) \\ \llbracket \mathbf{pif} \ u \ \mathbf{then} \ v \ \mathbf{else} \ w : \tau \rrbracket \rho &= \begin{cases} \llbracket v \rrbracket \rho, & \text{if } \llbracket u \rrbracket \rho = \top \\ \llbracket v \rrbracket \rho \wedge \llbracket w \rrbracket \rho, & \text{if } \llbracket u \rrbracket \rho = \perp \end{cases} \\ \llbracket u > 1/2 \rrbracket \rho &= \begin{cases} \top, & \text{if } \llbracket u \rrbracket \rho > 1/2 \\ \perp, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} & \llbracket u > 0 \rrbracket \rho &= \begin{cases} \top, & \text{if } \llbracket u \rrbracket \rho > 0 \\ \perp, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \llbracket * \rrbracket \rho &= \top, \end{aligned}$$

where  $V \in X \mapsto f(V)$  denotes the function which to all  $V$  in  $X$  associates  $f(V)$ , and where :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{add}_0(a) &= a/2 & \text{add}_1(a) &= (a+1)/2 \\ \text{rem}_0(a) &= \min(2a, 1) & \text{rem}_1(a) &= \max(2a-1, 0) \end{aligned}$$

Contexts (type constraints omitted) :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C} ::= & \_ \\
& | \mathcal{C}v \\
& | \mathbf{t1}_0\mathcal{C} \\
& | \mathbf{t1}_0\mathcal{C} \\
& | \mathbf{t1}_1\mathcal{C} \\
& | \mathcal{C} > 1/2 \\
& | \mathcal{C} > 0 \\
& | \mathbf{pif} \ \mathcal{C} \ \mathbf{then} \ v \ \mathbf{else} \ w \\
& | \mathbf{pif} \ u \ \mathbf{then} \ \mathcal{C} \ \mathbf{else} \ w \\
& | \mathbf{pif} \ u \ \mathbf{then} \ v \ \mathbf{else} \ \mathcal{C}
\end{aligned}$$

**Operational semantics.** We only apply a rule under a context  $\mathcal{C}$  of the above form, i.e.,  $u \rightarrow v$  if and only if  $u = \mathcal{C}[\ell]$  and  $v = \mathcal{C}[r]$ , where  $\mathcal{C}$  is a context (the types being respected), and  $\ell \rightarrow r$  is one of the rules below.

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mathbf{fn} \ x_\sigma.u)v \rightarrow u[x_\sigma := v] \\
& \mathbf{letrec} \ x_\sigma = u \ \mathbf{in} \ v \rightarrow v[x_\sigma := \mathbf{letrec} \ x_\sigma = u \ \mathbf{in} \ u] \\
& \mathbf{t1}_a(a.u) \rightarrow u \quad (a \in \{0, 1\}) \\
& \mathbf{t1}_0(1.u) \rightarrow \dot{1} \\
& \mathbf{t1}_1(0.u) \rightarrow \dot{0} \\
& (1.u) > 1/2 \rightarrow u > 0 \\
& (1.u) > 0 \rightarrow * \\
& (0.u) > 0 \rightarrow u > 0 \\
& \mathbf{pif} \ * \ \mathbf{then} \ v \ \mathbf{else} \ w \rightarrow v \\
& \mathbf{pif} \ u \ \mathbf{then} \ v \ \mathbf{else} \ * \rightarrow v \quad (\alpha) \\
& \mathbf{pif} \ u \ \mathbf{then} \ 0.v \ \mathbf{else} \ 1.w \rightarrow 0.v \\
& \mathbf{pif} \ u \ \mathbf{then} \ a.v \ \mathbf{else} \ a.w \rightarrow a.(\mathbf{pif} \ u \ \mathbf{then} \ v \ \mathbf{else} \ w) \quad (a \in \{0, 1\})
\end{aligned}$$

### Exercise 1 :

Recall that for all  $u : \tau$ ,  $\llbracket u \rrbracket$  is a well-defined function, Scott-continuous from  $Env \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{x_\sigma \text{ variable}} \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket$  to  $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket$ .

1. Show that the construction  $u > 0$  of Real PCF<sup>-</sup> is redundant. Explicitly propose a definition of an expression Real PCF<sup>-</sup> **nonzero**, of type  $\mathbf{I} \rightarrow \mathbf{unit}$ , which does not use the expression of the form  $u > 0$ , and whose semantics  $\llbracket \mathbf{nonzero} \rrbracket \rho$  is the function to which 0 associates  $\perp$  and to all  $a \in \mathcal{I}$  non-zero associates  $\top$ . Prove this assertion.
2. Show that the rule tagged with  $(\alpha)$  of the operational semantics is correct, in the sense that  $\llbracket \mathbf{pif} \ u \ \mathbf{then} \ v \ \mathbf{else} \ * \rrbracket \rho = \llbracket v \rrbracket \rho$  for all  $\rho \in Env$ .
3. We consider a Real PCF<sup>-</sup> program of the form  $\mathbf{letrec} \ x_\sigma = u \ \mathbf{in} \ v$ , of type  $\mathbf{unit}$ . Show that if  $\llbracket \mathbf{letrec} \ x_\sigma = u \ \mathbf{in} \ \rrbracket \rho \neq \perp$ , then there is an integer  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\llbracket \mathbf{letrec} \ x_\sigma = u \ \mathbf{in} \ \rrbracket \rho = g(f^n(\perp)),$$

where we use the abbreviations  $g(V) = \llbracket v \rrbracket(\rho[x_\sigma \mapsto V])$  and  $f(V) = \llbracket u \rrbracket(\rho[x_\sigma \mapsto V])$ . (The  $\perp$  in argument of  $f^n$  is that of  $\llbracket \sigma \rrbracket$ .) This expresses that a recursive definition (of  $x_\sigma$ ) used in a terminating computation ( $v$ ) of type  $\mathbf{unit}$  will be "expanded" only  $n$  times.

4. Why does the argument from the previous question not work if `letrec  $x_\sigma = u$  in  $v$`  is of type  $\mathbf{I}$ ?
5. Recall that  $\dot{0} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{letrec } x_{\mathbf{I}} = 0.x_{\mathbf{I}} \text{ in } x_{\mathbf{I}}$ . Show that there does not exist a derivation in the operational semantics for

$$\mathbf{t1}_0(\text{pif } \dot{0} > 1/2 \text{ then } 1.\dot{0} \text{ else } 0.1.1.\dot{0}) > 1/2 \rightarrow^* *.$$

We can set  $Z \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{letrec } x_{\mathbf{I}} = 0.x_{\mathbf{I}} \text{ in } 0.x_{\mathbf{I}}$ .

6. What can we conclude for the adequacy of the type `unit`? Justify.
7. Any suggestions to complete the operational semantics?

### Exercise 2 :

We now assume that a same Real PCF<sup>-</sup> variable is always labeled with the same type : if we see  $x_\sigma$  and  $x_\tau$ , then  $\sigma = \tau$ . This amounts to saying that the name  $x$  of the variables is sufficient to distinguish them.

We consider the Real PCF<sup>--</sup> language, which is just Real PCF<sup>-</sup> but without any type index. For example, `fn  $x.u$`  and `letrec  $x = u$  in  $v$`  are the expressions Real PCF<sup>--</sup> corresponding to `fn  $x_\sigma.u$`  and `letrec  $x_\sigma = u$  in  $v$` , respectively.

Formally, let  $E$  denote the type erasure function, defined by  $E(\text{letrec } x_\sigma = u \text{ in } v) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{letrec } x = E(u) \text{ in } E(v)$ ,  $E(\text{fn } x_\sigma.u) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{fn } x.E(u)$ , etc.

We will say that a Real PCF<sup>--</sup> expression  $u$  is typable, of type  $\tau$ , if and only if there exists a Real PCF<sup>-</sup> expression  $u'$ , of type  $\tau$ , such that  $E(u) = u'$ .

1. Are all Real PCF<sup>--</sup> expressions typable? Justify.
2. Is the type of a Real PCF<sup>--</sup> typable expression unique? Justify.