# Tree Automata and their Applications

TD n°1: Recognizable Tree Languages and Finite Tree Automata

#### 2021-2022

## Exercise 1: First constructions of Tree Automatas

Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{f(2), g(1), a(0)\}$ . Give a DFTA and a top-down DFTA for the set G(t) of ground instances of the term t = f(f(a, x), g(y)) which is defined by:

$$G(t) = \left\{ f(f(a, u), g(v)) \mid u, v \in T(\mathcal{F}) \right\}$$

## Exercise 2: What is recognizable by an FTA?

Are the following tree languages recognizable (by a bottom-up FTA)?

- $\mathcal{F} = \{g(1), a(0)\}\$ and L the set of ground terms of even height.
- $\mathcal{F} = \{f(2), g(1), a(0)\}\$  and L the set of ground terms of even height.

## Exercise 3: Bottom-up vs Top-down

- 1) Recall why bottom-up NFTAs, bottom-up DTAs and top-down NFTAs have the same expressiveness.
- 2) Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{f(2), g(1), a(0)\}$ . Give a DFTA and a top-down NFTA for the set M(t) of terms which have a ground instance of the term t = f(a, g(x)) as a subterm, ie.  $M(t) = \{C[f(a, g(u))] \mid C \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{F}), u \in T(\mathcal{F})\}$ .
- 3) Show that NFTAs and top-down DFTAs do not have the same expressiveness.

### Exercise 4: On a more abstract language.

- 1) Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a finite set of linear terms on  $T(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{X})$ . Prove that  $Red(\mathcal{E}) = \{C[t\sigma] \mid C \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{F}), t \in \mathcal{E}, \sigma \text{ ground substitution}\}$  is recognizable.
- 2) Prove that if  $\mathcal{E}$  contains only ground terms, then one can construct a DFTA recognizing  $Red(\mathcal{E})$  whose number of states is at most n+2, where n is the number of nodes of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

\*\*\*

## Homework for next week: Satisfiability

Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{and(2), or(2), not(1), 0(0), 1(0), x(0)\}$ . A ground term over  $\mathcal{F}$  can then be viewed as a boolean formula over x.

1) Give an NFTA which recognizes the set of satisfiable boolean formulae over x.

Now, let  $\mathcal{F} = \{and(2), or(2), not(1), 0(0), 1(0), x_1(0), \dots, x_n(0)\}$ , i.e we now handle n variables instead of a single one. The same variable may appear several times in a formula, and should be evaluated consistently.

2) Give an NFTA which recognizes the set of satisfiable boolean formulae over  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ . Note: You can send the homework by mail to asuresh@lsv.fr, or hand it to me in person next time that we reconvene for the TD.